

7/

Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

BAKER BOTTS, LLP 910 LOUISIANA HOUSTON, TX 77002-4995

**COPY MAILED** 

JUL 2 8 2004

In re Application of Mohamed M. Haq

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

Application No. 09/918,413

: DECISION ON PETITION : UNDER 37 CFR 1.78(a)(6)

Filed: July 30, 2001

Attorney Docket No. 069089.0103

This is a decision on the renewed petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(6), filed July 15, 2004, to accept an unintentionally delayed claim under 35 U.S.C. §119(e) for the benefit of a prior-filed provisional application.

The petition is Granted.

A petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(6) is only applicable to those applications filed on or after November 29, 2000. Further, the petition is appropriate only after expiration of the period specified in 37 CFR 1.78(a)(5)(ii) and must be filed during the pendency of the nonprovisional application. In addition, the petition must be accompanied by:

- (1) the surcharge set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(t);
- (2) a statement that the entire delay between the date the claim was due under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(5)(ii) and the date the claim was filed was unintentional; and
- (3) the reference to the prior-filed provisional application supplied in an application data sheet (ADS)(37 CFR 1.76) or as an amendment in the first sentence of the specification following the title. See 35 U.S.C. 119(e) and 37 CFR 1.78(a)(5)(iii). The Commissioner may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional.

The instant pending nonprovisional application was filed on July 30, 2001, which was filed within twelve months of the filing date of the prior-filed provisional application, Application No. 60/269,051, which was filed on February 15, 2001, for which priority is claimed. A reference to the prior-filed provisional application has been included in an amendment to the first sentence of the specification following the title.

The instant nonprovisional application was filed after November 29, 2000, and the claim for priority herein is submitted after expiration of the period specified in 37 CFR 1.78(a)(5)(ii). Also, the reference to the prior-filed provisional applications was submitted during the pendency of the nonprovisional application for which the benefit is sought. See 35 U.S.C. §119(e). Accordingly, having found that the instant petition satisfies the conditions of 37 CFR 1.78(a)(6) for acceptance of an unintentionally delayed claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. §119(e), the petition to accept an unintentionally delayed claim of benefit to the prior-filed provisional applications is granted.

The granting of the petition to accept the delayed benefit claim to the prior-filed application under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(6) should not be construed as meaning that the instant application is entitled to the benefit of the filing date of the prior-filed application. In order for the instant application to be entitled to the benefit of the prior-filed application, all other requirements under 35 U.S.C. §119(e) and 37 CFR 1.78(a)(4) and (a)(5) must be met. Similarly, the fact that the corrected Filing Receipt accompanying this decision on petition includes the prior-filed application should not be construed as meaning that applicant is entitled to the claim for benefit of priority to the prior-filed application noted thereon. Accordingly, the examiner will, in due course, consider this benefit claim and determine whether the instant application is entitled to the benefit of the earlier filing date.

Any inquiries concerning this decision may be directed to the undersigned at (703) 305-8859.

The application is being forwarded to Technology Center AU 3626 for the examiner to consider the claim under 35 U.S.C. §119(e) for the benefit of priority to prior-filed provisional Application No. 60/269,051, filed February 15, 2001.

Karen Creasy

Petitions Examiner Office of Petitions

Office of the Deputy Commissioner

for Patent Examination Policy

ATTACHMENT: Corrected Filing Receipt